

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/774,750	02/09/2004	Byong-Gon Lee	51777/DBP/Y35	6143		
23363 73	23363 7590 09/19/2006			EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, P	ARKER & HALE, LLP	SANTIAGO, MARICELI				
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA.	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2879			

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/774,750	)	LEE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mariceli Sa		2879					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	•				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□									
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	·							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers		•						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor							
=	•		untad or h) abjects	d to by the Ever	vinor				
10)63	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				102.				
	_	roian priority und	or 25 I I S C S 110/o	(d) or (f)					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
۵٫۱	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
and and the detailed entire detail for a list of the definied copies flot received.									
Attachmen	t(e)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No/s\/Mail Date  Cylory  Others									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 11 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0036460).

Regarding claim 1, Takenaka discloses a field emission display, comprising a first substrate (10) and a second substrate (12) facing one another and having a predetermined gap therebetween, an electron emission assembly (18) formed on the first substrate for emitting electrons, an illumination assembly (16) formed on the second substrate for displaying images responsive to electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, and a grid plate (24) mounted between the first and second substrates and configured to focus the electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, wherein the grid plate includes protrusions (30a) integrally formed thereon and extending from at least one side thereof (Abstract).

Regarding claim 11, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the illumination assembly comprises an anode electrode, and red, green, and blue phosphor layers formed adjacent to the anode electrode (Paragraph [0082]).

Regarding claim 14, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the grid plate further includes a mask section having apertures through which electrons are passed.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 15, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between the apertures formed in the mask section and along one direction to thereby form a stripe pattern (Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 16, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between the apertures formed in the mask section and along first and second directions that are substantially perpendicular to each other to thereby form a lattice pattern (Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 17, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between at most every other row of the apertures formed in the mask section and along one direction to thereby form a stripe pattern (Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 18, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions gradually decrease in cross-sectional area in a direction away from the mask section (Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 19, Takenaka discloses a field emission display, comprising a first substrate (10) and a second substrate (12) facing one another and having a predetermined gap therebetween, an electron emission assembly (18) formed on the first substrate for emitting electrons by generating an electric field, an illumination assembly (16) formed on the second substrate for realizing a display of images responsive to electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, and a grid plate (24) mounted between the first and second substrates and configured to focus the electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, wherein the grid plate includes protrusions extending from at least one side thereof, wherein each of the protrusions has a general shape of an elongated bar (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 20, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein cross-sectional area of the protrusions decreases as the protrusions are traversed from a first end closer to the first substrate to a second end closer to the second substrate.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875).

Regarding claim 19, Takenaka discloses a field emission display, comprising a first substrate (8) and a second substrate (10) facing one another and having a predetermined gap therebetween, an electron emission assembly (16) formed on the first substrate for emitting electrons by generating an electric field, an illumination assembly (7) formed on the second substrate for realizing a display of images responsive to electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, and a grid plate (6) mounted between the first and second substrates and configured to focus the electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, wherein the grid plate includes protrusions (2, 4) extending from at least one side thereof, wherein each of the protrusions has a general shape of an elongated bar (Fig. 9).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875).

Regarding claim 1, Takenaka discloses a field emission display, comprising a first substrate (8) and a second substrate (10) facing one another and having a predetermined gap therebetween, an electron emission assembly (16) formed on the first substrate for emitting electrons, an illumination assembly (7) formed on the second substrate for displaying images responsive to electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, and a grid plate (6)

Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

mounted between the first and second substrates and configured to focus the electrons emitted from the electron emission assembly, wherein the grid plate includes protrusions (2, 4) extending from at least one side thereof (Fig. 9). While, Takenaka fails to exemplify the limitation of the protrusion being integrally formed with the grid plate, it is considered that one skilled in the art would reasonable contemplate the use of a one piece construction instead of the grid plate assembly disclosed by Takenaka as an obvious matter of engineering choice, *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965), since such obvious modification does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not within the scope of the teaching applied. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate an integrally made grid plate and protrusion component in the display disclosed by Takenaka since such modification is considered within the level of skills in the art.

Regarding claim 2, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the electron emission assembly (16) comprises electron emission sources (18) and electrodes for causing the emission of electrons from the electron emission sources, wherein the electrodes include cathode electrodes (16) and gate electrodes (12) formed in a stripe pattern, and wherein the cathode electrodes and the gate electrodes are substantially perpendicular to one another and insulated from one another by an insulation layer (14).

Regarding claim 5, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the cathode electrodes are formed on the insulation layer (14) over the gate electrodes (12), and the electron emission sources (18) are mounted on the cathode electrodes (16).

Regarding claim 6, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are mounted on the insulation layer (14).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the gate electrodes (12) are formed on the insulation layer (14) over the cathode electrodes (16),

Art Unit: 2879

and the electron emission sources (18) are mounted on the cathode electrodes (16, Fig. 12), and wherein the protrusions (2) are mounted on the insulation layer (14).

Regarding claim 11, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the illumination assembly comprises an anode electrode, and red, green, and blue phosphor layers formed adjacent to the anode electrode (Paragraph [0050]).

Regarding claim 13, Takenaka discloses a field emission display further comprising an auxiliary insulation layer (14) formed on an uppermost layer of the first substrate, and the protrusions (2) are mounted on the auxiliary insulation layer (14).

Regarding claim 14, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the grid plate further includes a mask section having apertures (6a) through which electrons are passed (Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 15, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between the apertures formed in the mask section and along one direction to thereby form a stripe pattern (Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 16, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between the apertures formed in the mask section and along first and second directions that are substantially perpendicular to each other to thereby form a lattice pattern (Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 17, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the protrusions are formed between at most every other row of the apertures formed in the mask section and along one direction to thereby form a stripe pattern (Fig. 9).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2879

Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875) in view of Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0036460).

Regarding claim 18, Takenaka '875 fails to discloses the limitation of the protrusions gradually decrease in cross-sectional area in a direction away from the mask section. However, in the same field of endeavor, Takenaka '460 discloses a field emission display provided with a grid plate comprising protrusions that gradually decrease in cross-sectional area in a direction away from the mask section. In the same field of endeavor, Takenaka '460 teaches a failed emission display provided with a grid plate having a plurality of protrusions, extending from a surface thereof, wherein the protrusions gradually decrease in cross-sectional area in a direction away from the mask section. It has been held that a change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)*. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the protrusions which gradually decrease in cross-sectional area in a direction away from the mask section, since such a modification would have involve a mere change in the shape of a component.

Regarding claim 20, Takenaka '875 fails to disclose the limitation of a cross-sectional area of the protrusions decreases as the protrusions are traversed from a first end closer to the first substrate to a second end closer to the second substrate. However, in the same field of endeavor, Takenaka '460 discloses a field emission display provided with a grid plate comprising protrusions wherein cross-sectional area of the protrusions decreases as the protrusions are traversed from a first end closer to the first substrate to a second end closer to the second substrate. Same rationale for combining as stated in the rejection of claim 18 above applies.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875) in view of Russ et al. (US 6,791,278).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Takenaka discloses a field emission display wherein the electron emission sources are made of a carbon-based material selected from a group consisting of carbon nanotubes, graphite, diamond, diamond-like carbon and C60 (Fullerene), or a mixture of at least two of the carbon nanotubes, graphite, diamond, diamond-like carbon and C60 (Fullerene) (Paragraph [0039]). Takenaka is silent in regards to the limitation of the emission source having a substantially uniform thickness. However, in the same field of endeavor, Russ discloses a field emission display provided with electron emission sources deposited in a film having constant thickness in order to produce substantially uniform electron emission (Paragraph 8, lines 44-51). Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the electron source uniform thickness disclosed by Russ in the display of Takenaka in order to produce substantially uniform electron emission.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875) in view of Jo et al. (US 6,621,232).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Takenaka fails to disclose the limitations of the electron emission assembly further comprises counter electrodes mounted between the cathode electrodes at a predetermined distance from the same, and the counter electrodes are electrically connected to the gate electrodes through via openings formed in the insulation layer such that the counter electrodes are electrically connected to the gate electrodes. However, in the same field of endeavor, Jo discloses a field emission display further comprising an electron emission assembly comprising counter electrodes (14) mounted between the cathode electrodes (10) at a predetermined distance from the same (Fig. 2), and the counter electrodes

Art Unit: 2879

being electrically connected to the gate electrodes through via openings (8a) formed in the insulation layer (8) such that the counter electrodes are electrically connected to the gate electrodes (6). Furthermore, Jo acknowledges that when the driving voltage is applied to the gate electrodes (6), additional electric field is formed between the counter electrodes (14) and the emitters (12), in this manner, counter electrodes generate desirable amounts of electron emissions from the emitters. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the counter electrodes disclosed by Jo in the display of Takenaka in order to generate desirable amounts of electron emissions from the emitters.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (US 2002/0017875) in view of Deguchi et al. (US 6,400,091).

Regarding claim 12, Takenaka fails to disclose the limitation of the illumination assembly further comprises a metal film formed adjacent to the phosphor layers. However, in the same field of endeavor, Deguchi discloses a field emission display provided with an illumination assembly which further comprises a metal film formed adjacent to the phosphor layers (44, Column 8, lines 61-67) which mirror-reflects a part of the light emitted from the phosphor film, thereby enhancing a light utilization ratio and protects the phosphor film from collision with negative ions. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the metal layer disclosed by Deguchi in the display of Takenaka in order to mirror-reflect a part of the light emitted from the phosphor film, thereby enhancing a light utilization ratio and protects the phosphor film from collision with negative ions.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879